arXiv:0709.0796v1 [math.OA] 6 Sep 2007

ON FRAMES IN HILBERT MODULES OVER PRO-C^*-ALGEBRAS

MARIA JOIŢA

Abstract. We introduce the concept of frame of multipliers in Hilbert modules over pro-C^*-algebras and show that many properties of frames in Hilbert C^*-modules are valid for frames of multipliers in Hilbert modules over pro-C^*-algebras.

1. Introduction

Hilbert C^*-modules are generalizations of Hilbert spaces by allowing the inner product to take values in a C^*-algebra rather than in the field of complex numbers. But the theory of Hilbert C^*-modules is different from the theory of Hilbert spaces, for example, no any closed submodule of a Hilbert C^*-module is complemented. The notion of frames in Hilbert C^*-modules was introduced and some properties were investigated in [2, 3, 13]. Using the well known Kasparov stabilisation theorem [10] which states that any countably generated Hilbert C^*-module over A is unitarily equivalent with a complemented submodule of H_A, Frank and Larson [2] showed that any countably generated Hilbert module has a standard normalised frame. In [13], Raeburn and Thompson showed that the Kasparov stabilisation theorem is valid for countably generated Hilbert C^*-modules in the multiplier. Also they defined the concept of standard frame of multipliers for a Hilbert C^*-module, and showed that every Hilbert C^*-module countably generated in the multiplier module admits a frame of multipliers, thus generalizing results of Frank and Larson [2].

Pro-C^*-algebras are generalizations of C^*-algebras. Instead of being given by a single C^*-norm, the topology of a pro-C^*-algebra is given by a directed family of C^*-seminorms. In the literature, pro-C^*-algebras have been given by different names such as b^*-algebras (C. Apostol), LMC^*-algebras (G. Lessner, K. Schmüdgen) or locally C^*-algebras (A. Inoue, M. Fragoulopoulou, A. Mallios, etc). Hilbert modules over pro-C^*-algebras were considered independently by Mallios and Phillips [12]. Phillips showed that the Kasparov stabilisation theorem is valid for countably generated Hilbert modules over metrizable pro-C^*-algebras and we shoved that this theorem is valid for countably generated Hilbert modules over arbitrary pro-C^*-algebras [7].

In this paper we extend some results from [2, 3, 13] in the context of Hilbert modules over pro-C^*-algebras. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some facts about pro-C^*-algebras and Hilbert modules over pro-C^*-algebras.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L08; 46L05; 81R60.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert modules over pro-C^*-algebras; standard frames of multipliers; dual frames .

This research was supported by CNCSIS (Romanian National Council for Research in High Education) grant-code A 1065/2006.

In Section 3, we introduce the concept of frame of multipliers for Hilbert modules over pro-C^*-algebras and prove that any Hilbert module over a pro-C^*-algebra countably generated in the multiplier module admits a standard normalised frame of multipliers. Also we show that the reconstruction formula is valid for standard normalised frames of multipliers and the existence of the frame transform. It is known that if the bounded part $b(E)$ of a Hilbert module E over a pro-C^*-algebra A is a Hilbert C^*-module over $b(A)$, and if $b(E)$ is countably generated in the multiplier module, then E is countably generated in the multiplier module. We show that $b(E)$ admits a standard frame of multipliers, then E admits a standard frame of multipliers. In Section 4, we introduce the notion of dual frame of multipliers and prove a necessary and sufficient condition for that two frames of multipliers are duals to each other.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a pro-C^*-algebra. The set $S(A)$ of all continuous C^*-seminorms on A is directed ($p \geq q$ if $p(a) \geq q(a)$ for all $a \in A$). For each $p \in S(A)$, the quotient $*$-algebra $A/\ker p$, where $\ker p = \{a \in A; p(a) = 0\}$, denoted by A_p, is a C^*-algebra in the C^*-norm induced by p. The canonical map from A onto A_p is denoted by π_p^A. For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, there is a canonical surjective morphism of C^*-algebras $\pi_{pq}^A : A_p \to A_q$ such that $\pi_{pq}^A(\pi_p^A(a)) = \pi_q^A(a)$ for all $a \in A$, and $\{A_p; \pi_{pq}^A\}_{p,q \in S(A), p \geq q}$ is an inverse system of C^*-algebras. Moreover, the pro-C^*-algebras A and $\lim\limits_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ p \in S(A)}} A_p$ can be identified.

An element $a \in A$ is bounded if

$$\|a\|_\infty = \sup\{p(a); p \in S(A)\} < \infty.$$

The set $b(A)$ of all bounded elements in A is a C^*-algebra in the C^*-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Moreover, $b(A)$ is dense in A.

Here we recall some facts about Hilbert modules over pro-C^*-algebras from [7, 12].

Definition 2.1. *A pre-Hilbert A-module is a complex vector space E which is also a right A-module, compatible with the complex algebra structure, equipped with an A-valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E : E \times E \to A$ which is $\mathbb{C}$-and A-linear in its second variable and satisfies the following relations:*

1. $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_E^* = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle_E$ *for every* $\xi, \eta \in E$;
2. $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E \geq 0$ *for every* $\xi \in E$;
3. $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E = 0$ *if and only if* $\xi = 0$.

We say that E is a Hilbert A-module if E is complete with respect to the topology determined by the family of seminorms $\{\overline{p}_E\}_{p \in S(A)}$ where $\overline{p}_E(\xi) = \sqrt{p\left(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E\right)}, \xi \in E$.

An element ξ in a Hilbert A-module E is bounded if

$$\|\xi\|_\infty = \sup\{\overline{p}_E(\xi); p \in S(A)\} < \infty.$$

The set $b(E)$ of all bounded elements is a Hilbert $b(A)$-module which is dense in E.

A Hilbert A-module E is countably generated if there is a countable set $\{\xi_n\}_n$ in E such that the submodule of E generated by $\{\xi_n a; a \in A, n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is the whole of E.

If A is a pro-C^*-algebra, then A is a Hilbert A-module with $\langle a, b\rangle_A = a^*b$, and the set H_A of all sequences $(a_n)_n$ with $a_n \in A$ such that $\sum\limits_n a_n^* a_n$ converges in A is a Hilbert A-module with the action of A on H_A defined by $(a_n)_n b = (a_n b)_n$ and the inner product defined by $\langle (a_n)_n, (b_n)_n\rangle_{H_A} = \sum\limits_n a_n^* b_n$. Moreover, if A has a countable approximate unit, then the Hilbert A-modules A and H_A are countably generated.

Let E be a Hilbert A-module. For $p \in S(A)$, the quotient vector space $E/\ker(\overline{p}_E)$, where $\ker(\overline{p}_E) = \{\xi \in E; \overline{p}_E(\xi) = 0\}$, denoted by E_p, is a Hilbert A_p-module with $(\xi + \ker(\overline{p}_E))\pi_p^A(a) = \xi a + \ker(\overline{p}_E)$ and $\langle \xi + \ker(\overline{p}_E), \eta + \ker(\overline{p}_E)\rangle_{E_p} = \pi_p^A(\langle \xi, \eta\rangle_E)$. The canonical map from E onto E_p is denoted by σ_p^E. For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$ there is a canonical surjective morphism of vector spaces σ_{pq}^E from E_p onto E_q such that $\sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\xi)) = \sigma_q^E(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in E$, and $\{E_p; A_p; \sigma_{pq}^E, \pi_{pq}^A\}_{p,q\in S(A), p\geq q}$ is an inverse system of Hilbert C^*-modules in the following sense: $\sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p a_p) = \sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p)\pi_{pq}^A(a_p), \xi_p \in E_p, a_p \in A_p$; $\left\langle \sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p), \sigma_{pq}^E(\eta_p)\right\rangle_{E_q} = \pi_{pq}^A(\left\langle \xi_p, \eta_p\right\rangle_{E_p})$, $\xi_p, \eta_p \in E_p$; $\sigma_{pp}^E(\xi_p) = \xi_p$, $\xi_p \in E_p$ and $\sigma_{qr}^E \circ \sigma_{pq}^E = \sigma_{pr}^E$ if $p \geq q \geq r$. The Hilbert A-modules $\lim\limits_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ p\in S(A)}} E_p$ and E can be identified.

Given two Hilbert A-modules E and F, a module morphism $T : E \to F$ is continuous if for each $p \in S(A)$ there is $M_p > 0$ such that $\overline{p}_F(T\xi) \leq M_p \overline{p}_E(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in E$, and it is adjointable if there is a module morphism $T^* : F \to E$ such that $\langle T\xi, \eta\rangle = \langle \xi, T^*\eta\rangle$ for every $\xi \in E$ and $\eta \in F$. Any adjointable module morphism is continuous. The set of all adjointable module morphisms from E to F is denoted by $L(E, F)$. For each $p \in S(A)$, there is a linear map $\left(\pi_p^{E,F}\right)_* : L(E, F) \to L(E_p, F_p)$ defined by

$$\left(\pi_p^{E,F}\right)_*(T)\left(\sigma_p^E(\xi)\right) = \sigma_p^F(T(\xi))$$

for $T \in L(E)$ and $\xi \in E$. The vector space $L(E, F)$ is a complete locally convex space with respect to the topology defined by the family of seminorms $\{\widetilde{p}_{L(E,F)}\}_{p\in S(A)}$, where $\widetilde{p}_{L(E,F)}(T) = \left\|(\pi_p^{E,F})_*(T)\right\|_{L(E_p,F_p)}$, $T \in L(E, F)$. If $E = F$, $L(E, E)$ is denoted by $L(E)$ and it is a pro-C^*-algebra.

For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, there is a linear map $\left(\pi_{pq}^{E,F}\right)_* : L(E_p, F_p) \to L(E_q, F_q)$ such that

$$\left(\pi_{pq}^{E,F}\right)_*(T_p)\left(\sigma_q^E(\xi)\right) = \sigma_{pq}^F\left(T_p\left(\sigma_p^E(\xi)\right)\right)$$

for all $T_p \in L(E_p, F_p)$ and $\xi \in E$, and $\{L(E_p, F_p);\ (\pi_{pq}^{E,F})_*\}_{p,q\in S(A), p\geq q}$ is an inverse system of Banach spaces. Moreover, the complete locally convex spaces $\lim\limits_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ p\in S(A)}} L(E_p, F_p)$ and $L(E, F)$ are isomorphic.

The set $b(L(E, F))$ of all bounded elements in $L(E, F)$ ($T \in b(L(E, F)$ if $\sup\{\widetilde{p}_{L(E,F)}(T); p \in S(A)\} < \infty$), is a Banach space which is isomorphic with $L(b(E), b(F))$.

Now we recall some facts about multiplier modules from [8, 13]. The set $L(A, E)$ of all adjointable module morphisms from A to E is a Hilbert $L(A)$-module with the action of $L(A)$ on $L(A, E)$ defined by

$$L(A, E) \times L(A) \ni (T, S) \mapsto T \cdot S = T \circ S \in L(A, E)$$

and the inner-product defined by

$$L(A, E) \times L(A, E) \ni (T, R) \mapsto \langle T, S\rangle = T^* \circ S \in L(A).$$

Since the locally C^*-algebras $L(A)$ and $M(A)$, the multiplier algebra of A, can be identified [12, 7], the Hilbert $L(A)$-module $L(A,E)$ can be regarded as a Hilbert $M(A)$-module. The Hilbert $M(A)$-module $L(A,E)$ is called *the multiplier module* of E and it is denoted by $M(E)$. Moreover, the topology on $M(E)$ induced by the inner product coincides with the topology defined by the family of seminorms $\{\overline{p}_{M(E)}\}_{p\in S(A)}$, with

$$\overline{p}_{M(E)}(h)=\widetilde{p}_{L(A,E)}(h)$$

for all $h\in M(E)$ and for all $p\in S(A)$. The map $\mathrm{i}_E:E\to M(E)$ defined by

$$\mathrm{i}_E(\xi)(a)=\xi a,\xi\in E,a\in A$$

identifies E with a Hilbert submodule of $M(E)$ and then

$$\langle h,\xi\rangle_{M(E)}=h^*(\xi)$$

for all $h\in M(E)$ and $\xi\in E$. Moreover, if $a\in A$ and $h\in M(E)$, then $h\cdot a$ can be identified with $h(a)$.

Definition 2.2. *A Hilbert A-module E is countably generated in $M(E)$ if there is a countable set $\{h_n;\ h_n\in M(E),\ n=1,2,...\}$ such that the closed submodule of $M(E)$ generated by $\{h_n\cdot a;\ a\in A,\ n=1,2,...\}$ is the whole of E.*

Remark 2.3. *If the Hilbert A-module E is countably generated in $M(E)$, then, for each $p\in S(A)$, $\{(\pi_p^{A,E})_*(h_n);\ h_n\in M(E),\ n=1,2,...\}\subseteq M(E_p)$ is a generating set for E_p, since $(\pi_p^{A,E})_*(h_n)\cdot\pi_p^A(a)=\sigma_p^E(h_n\cdot a)$ for all $n=1,2,...$ and for all $a\in A$, and since $\sigma_p^E(E)=E_p$. Therefore the Hilbert A_p-module E_p is countably generated in $M(E_p)$ for each $p\in S(A)$.*

Example 2.4. *If A is a pro-C^*-algebra, then the Hilbert A-module A is countably generated in $M(A)$, $\{1_{M(A)}\}$ being a generating set.*

Example 2.5. *For any pro-C^*-algebra A, the Hilbert A-module H_A is countably generated in $M(H_A)$.*

Indeed, for each positive integer n consider the linear map $e_n:A\to H_A$ by $e_n(a)=(0,...0,a,0,...)$, the element in H_A whose all the components are 0 excepts at n^{th} component which is a. Clearly, e_n is a module morphism. Moreover, e_n is adjointable and $e_n^*((a_m)_m)=a_n$.

Let $(a_n)_n\in H_A$. Since

$$\overline{p}_{H_A}\left((a_n)_n-\sum_{k=1}^{m}e_k\cdot a_k\right)^2=p\left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}a_k^*a_k\right)$$

for all $p\in S(A)$ and for all positive integer m, $(a_n)_n=\sum\limits_k e_k\cdot a_k$. Therefore, the Hilbert submodule of $M(H_A)$ generated by $\{e_m\cdot a;a\in A,m=1,2,...\}$ is H_A, and so $\{e_m,m=1,2,...\}\subseteq M(H_A)$ is a generating set for H_A.

Remark 2.6. *If E is a countably generated Hilbert A-module, then E is countably generated in $M(E)$.*

In general, E is not countably generated when E is countably generated in $M(E)$.

Example. *Let A be a pro-C^*-algebra which does not have a countable approximate unit. We seen that the Hilbert A-module A is countably generated in $M(A)$ but it is not countably generated.*

Remark 2.7. *If E is a Hilbert A-module such that $b(E)$ is countably generated in $M(b(E))$, then E is countably generated in $M(E)$.*

3. Frame transform and reconstruction frame

Let E be a Hilbert A-module.

Definition 3.1. *A sequence $\{h_n\}_n$ in $M(E)$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E if for each $\xi \in E$, $\sum\limits_n \langle \xi, h_n\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in A, and there are two positive constants C and D such that*

$$C\langle \xi, \xi\rangle_E \leq \sum_n \langle \xi, h_n\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)} \leq D\langle \xi, \xi\rangle_E$$

for all $\xi \in E$. If $D = C = 1$ we say that $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalized frame of multipliers.

Remark 3.2. *Let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a sequence in $M(E)$. If $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E, then $\{\left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n)\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E_p for each $p \in S(A)$.*

Remark 3.3. *Let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a sequence in $M(E)$. Then $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E if and only if $\{\left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n)\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E_p for each $p \in S(A)$.*

Remark 3.4. *If $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E, then $h_n \in b(M(E))$ for all positive integer n.*

Indeed, let $\xi \in b(E)$ and let m be a positive integer. From

$$0 \leq \langle \xi, h_m\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_m, \xi\rangle_{M(E)} \leq \sum_n \langle \xi, h_n\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)} \leq D\langle \xi, \xi\rangle_E$$

and [4], *we deduce that*

$$p\left(\langle h_m, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right)^2 \leq Dp\left(\langle \xi, \xi\rangle_E\right)$$

for all $p \in S(A)$. Then

$$\overline{p}_A\left(h_m^*(\xi)\right)^2 \leq D\overline{p}_E(\xi)^2 \leq D\|\xi\|_\infty^2$$

for all $p \in S(A)$. This implies that $h_m^* \in b(M(E))$. Therefore $h_m \in b(M(E))$.

Example 3.5. *For any pro-C^*-algebra, $\{e_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in H_A. Indeed, if $(a_n)_n \in H_A$ then, since*

$$\langle (a_m)_m, e_n\rangle_{M(H_A)} \langle e_n, (a_m)_m\rangle_{M(H_A)} = a_n^* a_n$$

for each positive integer n, we have

$$\sum_n \langle (a_m)_m, e_n\rangle_{M(H_A)} \langle e_n, (a_m)_m\rangle_{M(H_A)} = \sum_n a_n^* a_n = \langle (a_m)_m, (a_m)_m\rangle_{H_A}$$

and so $\{e_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in H_A.

Proposition 3.6. *Any countably generated Hilbert A-module E in $M(E)$ admits a standard normalised frame of multipliers.*

Proof. Indeed, let $P : H_A \to E$ be the projection of H_A on E [8, Theorem 4.2]. Then $\{\left(\pi_p^{H_A,E}\right)_* (P) \circ \left(\pi_p^{A,H_A}\right)_* (e_n)\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E_p for each $p \in S(A)$ [13, Corollary 3.3]. From this fact, Remark 3.3 and taking into account that $\left(\pi_p^{H_A,E}\right)_* (P) \circ \left(\pi_p^{A,H_A}\right)_* (e_n) = \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (P \circ e_n)$ for all n and for all $p \in S(A)$ we conclude that $\{P \circ e_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *(The reconstruction formula) Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$ and let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a sequence in $M(E)$. Then $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers if and only if for all $\xi \in E$, $\sum\limits_n h_n \cdot \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E and moreover,*

$$\xi = \sum_n h_n \cdot \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)} .$$

Proof. By Remark 3.3 and [13, Theorem 3.4], $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E if and only if $\sum\limits_n \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n) \cdot \left\langle \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n), \sigma_p^E (\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E_p for all $\xi \in E$ and for each $p \in S(A)$, and moreover,

$$\sigma_p^E (\xi) = \sum_n \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n) \cdot \left\langle \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n), \sigma_p^E (\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}$$

From this fact and taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{p}_E \left(\xi - \sum_{k=1}^n h_k \cdot \langle h_k, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right) \\ = \quad & \left\| \sigma_p^E (\xi) - \sigma_p^E \left(\sum_{k=1}^n h_k \cdot \langle h_k, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right)\right\|_{E_p} \\ = \quad & \left\| \sigma_p^E (\xi) - \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_k) \cdot \left\langle \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_k), \sigma_p^E (\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}\right\|_{E_p} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in E$, for all $p \in S(A)$ and for all positive integer n, we deduce that $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E if and only if $\sum\limits_n h_n \cdot \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E for all $\xi \in E$, and moreover, $\xi = \sum\limits_n h_n \cdot \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}$ for all $\xi \in E$. $\square$

Remark 3.8. *If $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E, then $\sum\limits_n (h_n \circ\, h_n^*) (\xi) = \xi$ for all $\xi \in E$, since $(h_n \circ h_n^*) (\xi) = h_n \cdot \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}$ for each positive integer n. Therefore, $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalied frame of multipliers in E if and only if $\sum\limits_n (h_n \circ h_n^*) (\xi)$ converges in E for each $\xi \in E$ and moreover, $\sum\limits_n (h_n \circ h_n^*) (\xi) = \xi$.*

Corollary 3.9. *If $b(E)$ admits a standard normalised frame of multipliers, then E admits a standard normalised frame of multipliers.*

Proof. We will show that if $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in $b(E)$, then $\{\widetilde{h_n}\}_n$, where $\widetilde{h_n}$ is the extension of h_n to an element in $M(E)$, is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E.

Let $\xi \in E$, $p \in S(A)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $b(E)$ is dense in E, there is $\xi_0 \in b(E)$ such that $\overline{p}_E(\xi - \xi_0) \leq \varepsilon/3$. Since $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in $b(E)$, there is n_0 such that

$$\left\| \xi_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (h_k \circ h_k^*)(\xi_0) \right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon/3$$

for all n with $n \geq n_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{p}_E\left(\xi - \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\widetilde{h_k} \circ \widetilde{h_k}^*\right)(\xi)\right) &\leq \overline{p}_E(\xi - \xi_0) + \overline{p}_E\left(\xi_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n}(h_k \circ h_k^*)(\xi_0)\right) \\
&\quad + \overline{p}_E\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\widetilde{h_k} \circ \widetilde{h_k}^*\right)(\xi - \xi_0)\right) \\
&\leq \varepsilon/3 + \left\|\xi_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n}(h_k \circ h_k^*)(\xi_0)\right\|_\infty \\
&\quad + \widetilde{p}_{L(E)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n}\widetilde{h_k} \circ \widetilde{h_k}^*\right)\overline{p}_E(\xi - \xi_0) \\
&\leq \varepsilon/3\left(2 + \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n}\widetilde{h_k} \circ \widetilde{h_k}^*\right\|_\infty\right) \\
&= \varepsilon/3\left(2 + \left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \circ h_k^*\right\|\right) \\
&\leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

for all n with $n \geq n_0$. This shows that $\sum_n \left(\widetilde{h_n} \circ \widetilde{h_n}^*\right)(\xi)$ converges to ξ in E for each $\xi \in E$ and so $\{\widetilde{h_n}\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E. □

If $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E, then $\sum_n \langle \xi, h_n\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E for all $\xi \in E$. From this fact and taking into account that $\langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)} \in A$ for all positive integer n, we conclude that $\left(\langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right)_n \in H_A$. Thus we can define a linear map $\theta : E \to H_A$ by

$$\theta(\xi) = \left(\langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right)_n .$$

Moreover, θ is a continuous module morphism, since

$$\theta(\xi a) = \left(\langle h_n, \xi a\rangle_{M(E)}\right)_n = \left(\langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)} a\right)_n = \theta(\xi) a$$

for all $\xi \in E$ and for all $a \in A$ and

$$\overline{p}_{H_A}(\theta(\xi))^2 = p\left(\sum_n \langle \xi, h_n\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right) \leq C\overline{p}_E(\xi)^2$$

for all $\xi \in E$ and for all $p \in S(A)$.

Definition 3.10. *Let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a standard frame of multipliers in E. The module morphism $\theta : E \to H_A$ defined by $\theta(\xi) = \left(\langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right)_n$ is called the frame transform for $\{h_n\}_n$.*

Theorem 3.11. *(The frame transform) Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$ and let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a standard frame of multipliers in E. The frame transform θ is an adjointable module morphism which realizes an embedding of E onto an orthogonal summand of H_A, and $\theta^* \circ e_n = h_n$ for all n. Moreover, $\theta^* \circ \theta$ is an invertible element in $b(L(E))$.*

Proof. Since $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E, $\{\left(\left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n)\right)\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E_p for each $p \in S(A)$. Let $p \in S(A)$. The frame transform for $\{\left(\left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n)\right)\}_n$ is an adjointable operator $\theta_p : E_p \to H_{A_p}$ defined by

$$\theta_p \left(\sigma_p^E (\xi)\right) = \left(\left\langle \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n), \sigma_p^E (\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E_p)}\right)_n .$$

Moreover, θ_p preserves the inner product and $\theta_p^* \circ \left(\pi_p^{A,H_A}\right)_* (e_n) = \left(\pi_p^{A,E}\right)_* (h_n)$ [13, Theorem 3.5]. Since

$$\left(\pi_{pq}^{E,H_A}\right)_* (\theta_p) = \theta_q$$

for all $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, there is $\theta \in L(E, H_A)$ such that

$$\left(\pi_p^{E,H_A}\right)_* (\theta) = \theta_p$$

for all $p \in S(A)$. Clearly

$$\theta (\xi) = \left(\langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right)_n$$

for all $\xi \in E$. Therefore θ is the frame transform for $\{h_n\}_n$. Moreover, θ preserves the inner product and $\theta^* \circ e_n = h_n$ for all n.

From

$$\begin{aligned} C\overline{p}_E (\xi)^2 &= Cp\left(\langle \xi, \xi\rangle_E\right) \leq p\left(\sum_n \langle \xi, h_n\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}\right) \\ &= \overline{p}_{H_A} (\theta(\xi))^2 \leq Dp\left(\langle \xi, \xi\rangle_E\right) = D\overline{p}_E (\xi)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in S(A)$ and for all $\xi \in E$, we conclude that θ is an injective adjointable module morphism from E to H_A with closed range. Moreover, $\theta \in b(L(E, H_A))$. By [5, Theorem 2.2], $\theta(E)$ has an orthogonal complement in H_A, θ^* is surjective and the restriction $\theta^*|_{\theta(E)}$ of θ^* on $\theta(E)$ is an invertible element in $b(L(\theta(E), H_A))$. Then $\theta^* \circ \theta$ is invertible, and moreover, $\theta^* \circ \theta \in b(L(E))$. □

Theorem 3.12. *Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$ and let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a sequence in $M(E)$. Then $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E if and only if there is an invertible element T in $b(L(E))$ such that $\{T \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E. Let θ be the frame transform. Then $\theta^* \circ \theta$, and so $(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, is an invertible element in $b(L(E))$.

Let $\xi \in E$. Then there is $\eta \in E$ such that $(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) = \xi$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E &= \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta), (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\eta) \right\rangle_E = \langle \theta(\eta), \theta(\eta) \rangle_{H_A} \\
&= \sum_n \langle \eta, h_n \rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \eta \rangle_{M(E)} \\
&= \sum_n \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi), h_n \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle h_n, (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi) \right\rangle_{M(E)} \\
&= \sum_n \left\langle \xi, (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E.

Conversely, suppose that there is an invertible element T in $b(L(E))$ such that $\{T \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E. Since $T \in b(L(E))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_p^A(\langle T(\xi), T(\xi) \rangle_E) &= \left\langle \left(\pi_p^{E,E}\right)_*(T)(\sigma_p^E(\xi)), \left(\pi_p^{E,E}\right)_*(T)(\sigma_p^E(\xi)) \right\rangle_{E_p} \\
&\quad \text{(see, for example, [11, Proposition 1.2])} \\
&\leq \left\| \left(\pi_p^{E,E}\right)_*(T) \right\|^2 \left\langle \sigma_p^E(\xi), \sigma_p^E(\xi) \right\rangle_{E_p} \\
&= \widetilde{p}_{L(E)}(T)^2 \pi_p^A(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E) \\
&\leq \|T\|_\infty^2 \, \pi_p^A(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in S(A)$ and for all $\xi \in E$, and then by [4]

$$\langle T(\xi), T(\xi) \rangle_E \leq \|T\|_\infty^2 \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E$$

for all $\xi \in E$. Then, since T is invertible we have

$$\|T\|_\infty^{-2} \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E \leq \left\langle (T^*)^{-1}(\xi), (T^*)^{-1}(\xi) \right\rangle_E \leq \left\| T^{-1} \right\|_\infty^2 \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E$$

for all $\xi \in E$. From these relations and taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle (T^*)^{-1}(\xi), (T^*)^{-1}(\xi) \right\rangle_E \\
& \{T \circ h_n\}_n \text{ is a standard normalised frame of } E \text{ in } M(E) \\
=\ & \sum_n \left\langle (T^*)^{-1}(\xi), T \circ h_n \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle T \circ h_n, (T^*)^{-1}(\xi) \right\rangle_{M(E)} \\
=\ & \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n \left\langle (T^*)^{-1}(\xi), T \circ h_k \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle T \circ h_k, (T^*)^{-1}(\xi) \right\rangle_{M(E)} \\
=\ & \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n \left\langle \xi, T^{-1} \circ (T \circ h_k) \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle T^{-1} \circ (T \circ h_k), \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \\
=\ & \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \xi, h_k \rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_k, \xi \rangle_{M(E)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in E$, we deduce that $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E. □

Corollary 3.13. *If $b(E)$ admits a standard frame of multipliers, then E admits a standard frame of multipliers.*

Proof. Let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a standard frame of multipliers in $b(E)$. By Theorem 3.12 there is an invertible element $T \in L(b(E))$ such that $\{T \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in $b(E)$. Since $\widetilde{T \circ h_n} = \widetilde{T} \circ \widetilde{h_n}$ ($\widetilde{T \circ h_n}$ denotes the extension of $T \circ h_n$ to an element in $M(E)$) for each positive integer n, by Corollary 3.9, $\{\widetilde{T} \circ \widetilde{h_n}\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E, and then, by Theorem 3.12, $\{\widetilde{h_n}\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E, since $\widetilde{T}$ is an invertible element in $b(L(E))$. □

4. Dual frames

Lemma 4.1. *Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$, let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a standard frame of multipliers in E, and let S be an invertible positive element in $b(L(E))$. Then $\{S \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E.*

Proof. Let $\xi \in E$. Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle \xi, S \circ h_k \rangle_{M(E)} \langle S \circ h_k, \xi \rangle_{M(E)} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle S^* (\xi), h_k \rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_k, S^* (\xi) \rangle_{M(E)}$$

and since $\{h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E, $\sum\limits_{n} \langle \xi, S \circ h_n \rangle_{M(E)} \langle S \circ h_n, \xi \rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in A. Moreover,

$$C \langle S^*(\xi), S^*(\xi) \rangle_E \leq \sum_{n} \langle \xi, S \circ h_n \rangle_{M(E)} \langle S \circ h_n, \xi \rangle_{M(E)} \leq D \langle S^*(\xi), S^*(\xi) \rangle_E .$$

Since S is an invertible positive element in $b(L(E))$, S^* is an invertible element in $b(L(E))$ and then

$$\left\| S^{-1} \right\|_{\infty}^{-2} \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E \leq \langle S^*(\xi), S^*(\xi) \rangle_E \leq \| S \|_{\infty}^{2} \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_E .$$

From these facts we conclude that $\{S \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E. □

Remark 4.2. *Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$, let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a standard frame of multipliers in E. If θ is the frame transform of $\{h_n\}_n$, then, by Theorem 3.11, $(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1}$ is an invertible positive element in $b(L(E))$ and by Lemma 4.1, $\{(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard frame of multipliers in E. Let $\xi \in E$. Since $\{(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalised frame of multipliers in E (the proof of Theorem 3.12) we have*

$$(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\xi) = \sum_{n} (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n \cdot \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n, (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\xi) \right\rangle_{M(E)} .$$

From this fact and Theorem 3.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi &= (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\xi) \right) \\
&= (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_n (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n \cdot \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n, (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\xi) \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right) \\
&= (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_n (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_n \cdot \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_n, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right) \\
&= (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ h_k \cdot \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right) \\
&= \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n h_k \cdot \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} .
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\sum_n h_n \cdot \left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_n, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)}$ *converges to* ξ.

Definition 4.3. *Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$, let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a standard frame of multipliers in E. We say that a standard frame of multipliers $\{t_n\}_n$ in E is a dual frame of multipliers of $\{h_n\}_n$ if $\sum_n h_n \cdot \langle t_n, \xi \rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E for all $\xi \in E$ and moreover,*

$$\xi = \sum_n h_n \cdot \langle t_n, \xi \rangle_{M(E)} .$$

The standard frame of multipliers $\{(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_n\}_n$ in E, where θ is the frame transform of $\{h_n\}_n$, is called the canonical dual frame of multipliers of $\{h_n\}_n$ and $(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1}$ is said the frame operator of the standard frame of multipliers $\{h_n\}_n$.

Theorem 4.4. *(Reconstruction formula) Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$ and let $\{h_n\}_n$ be a standard frame of multipliers in E. Then there is a unique invertible positive element $S \in b(L(E))$ such that $\sum_n h_n \cdot \langle S \circ h_n, \xi \rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E and moreover,*

$$\xi = \sum_n h_n \cdot \langle S \circ h_n, \xi \rangle_{M(E)}$$

for all $\xi \in E$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.12 there is an invertible element $T \in b(L(E))$ such that $\{T \circ h_n\}_n$ is a standard normalized frame of multipliers in E. By Theorem 3.7, for each $\xi \in E$, $\sum_n T \circ h_n \cdot \langle T \circ h_n, \xi \rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E for each $\xi \in E$, and moreover,

$$\xi = \sum_n T \circ h_n \cdot \langle T \circ h_n, \xi \rangle_{M(E)} .$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{p}_E\left(\xi-\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k\cdot\left\langle S\circ h_k,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}\right)\\
= & \overline{p}_E\left(\xi-\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k\cdot\left\langle T\circ h_k,T(\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}\right)\\
= & \overline{p}_E\left(T^{-1}\left(T(\xi)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} T\circ h_k\cdot\left\langle T\circ h_k,T(\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}\right)\right)\\
\leq & \widetilde{p}_{L(E)}(T^{-1})\overline{p}_E\left(T(\xi)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} T\circ h_k\cdot\left\langle T\circ h_k,T(\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}\right)\\
\leq & \left\|T^{-1}\right\|_\infty\overline{p}_E\left(T(\xi)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} T\circ h_k\cdot\left\langle T\circ h_k,T(\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi\in E$, for all $p\in S(A)$ and for all positive integer n. From this fact and taking into account that

$$T(\xi)=\sum_n T\circ h_n\cdot\left\langle T\circ h_n,T(\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}$$

for all $\xi\in E$, we deduce that $\sum\limits_n h_n\cdot\left\langle (T^*\circ T)\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E, and moreover,

$$\xi=\sum_n h_n\cdot\left\langle (T^*\circ T)\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}.$$

Let $S=T^*\circ T$. Clearly, S is a positive invertible element in $b(L(E))$. Moreover, $\sum\limits_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in E, and $\xi=\sum\limits_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}$ for all $\xi\in E$.

To show that S is unique with the above properties, suppose that there is two positive invertible elements S_1 and S_2 in $b(L(E))$ such that for each $\xi\in E$, $\sum\limits_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S_1\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}$ and $\sum\limits_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S_2\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}$ converge in E, and

$$\xi=\sum_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S_1\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}=\sum_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S_2\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi &= \sum_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S_1\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}=\sum_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S_1\circ S_2^{-1}\circ S_2\circ h_n,\xi\right\rangle_{M(E)}\\
&= \sum_n h_n\cdot\left\langle S_2\circ h_n,\left(S_2^{-1}\circ S_1\right)(\xi)\right\rangle_{M(E)}=\left(S_2^{-1}\circ S_1\right)(\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi\in E$. This implies that $S_1=S_2$ and the uniqueness is proved. □

Remark 4.5. *The dual frame of multipliers of a given standard frame of multipliers is unique.*

Proposition 4.6. *Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$ and let $\{h_n\}_n$ and $\{t_n\}_n$ be two standard frames of multipliers in E with the frame transforms θ_1 and θ_2. Then these frames of multipliers are duals to each other if and only if $\theta_1^*\circ\theta_2=id_E$.*

Proof. First we suppose that the standard frames of multipliers $\{h_n\}_n$ and $\{t_n\}_n$ are duals to each other. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \left(\theta_1^* \circ \theta_2\right)(\xi), \eta \right\rangle_E &= \left\langle \theta_2(\xi), \theta_1(\eta) \right\rangle_{H_A} \\
&= \sum_n \left\langle \xi, t_n \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle h_n, \eta \right\rangle_{M(E)} = \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\langle \xi, t_k \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle h_k, \eta \right\rangle_{M(E)} \\
&= \lim_n \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \cdot \left\langle t_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)}, \eta \right\rangle_{M(E)} \\
&= \left\langle \lim_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \cdot \left\langle t_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right), \eta \right\rangle_{M(E)} \\
&= \left\langle \xi, \eta \right\rangle_E
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$, and so $\theta_1^* \circ \theta_2 = \mathrm{id}_E$.

Conversely, suppose that $\theta_1^* \circ \theta_2 = \mathrm{id}_E$. Let ξ. From

$$\overline{p}_E \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} h_k \cdot \left\langle t_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right)$$

$$= \sup\{ p \left(\left\langle \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} h_k \cdot \left\langle t_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)}, \eta \right\rangle_E \right); \eta \in E, \overline{p}_E(\eta) \leq 1\}$$

$$= \sup\{ p \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left\langle \xi, t_k \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle h_k, \eta \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right); \eta \in E \overline{p}_E(\eta) \leq 1\}$$

$$\leq \sup\{ p \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left\langle \xi, t_k \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle t_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} p \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left\langle \eta, h_k \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle h_k, \eta \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}};$$
$$\eta \in E, \overline{p}_E(\eta) \leq 1\}$$

$$\leq p \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left\langle \xi, t_k \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle t_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup\{ p \left(\sum_n \left\langle \eta, h_n \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle h_n, \eta \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}};$$
$$\eta \in E, \overline{p}_E(\eta) \leq 1\}$$

$$\leq p \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left\langle \xi, t_k \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle t_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup\{ p \left(D_1 \left\langle \eta, \eta \right\rangle_E \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \eta \in E, \overline{p}_E(\eta) \leq 1\}$$

$$\leq D_1 p \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left\langle \xi, t_k \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle t_k, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $p \in S(A)$ and taking into account that $\sum_n \left\langle \xi, t_n \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle t_n, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in A, we deduce that $\sum_n h_n \cdot \left\langle t_n, \xi \right\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in A.

Since

$$\left\langle \xi, \eta \right\rangle_E = \left\langle \left(\theta_1^* \circ \theta_2\right)(\xi), \eta \right\rangle_E = \sum_n \left\langle \xi, t_n \right\rangle_{M(E)} \left\langle h_n, \eta \right\rangle_{M(E)}$$

for all $\eta \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p\left(\left\langle \xi - \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \cdot \langle t_k, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}, \eta \right\rangle_E\right) \\ = \;& p\left(\langle \xi, \eta\rangle - \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \cdot \langle t_k, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}, \eta \right\rangle_E\right) \\ = \;& p\left(\sum_{n} \langle \xi, t_n\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \eta\rangle_{M(E)} - \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \cdot \langle t_k, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}, \eta \right\rangle_E\right) \\ = \;& p\left(\sum_{n} \langle \xi, t_n\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_n, \eta\rangle_{M(E)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle \xi, t_k\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_k, \eta\rangle_{M(E)}\right) \\ = \;& p\left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \langle \xi, t_k\rangle_{M(E)} \langle h_k, \eta\rangle_{M(E)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all positive integer n, for all $\eta \in E$ and for all $p \in S(A)$ and since $\sum_{n} \langle \xi, t_n\rangle_{M(E)}$ $\langle h_n, \eta\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges in A,

$$\lim_{n} \left\langle \xi - \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_k \cdot \langle t_k, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}, \eta \right\rangle_E = 0$$

for all $\eta \in E$. From these facts, we deduce that $\sum_{n} h_n \cdot \langle t_n, \xi\rangle_{M(E)}$ converges to ξ and so the standard frames of multipliers $\{h_n\}_n$ and $\{t_n\}_n$ are duals to each other. □

Corollary 4.7. *Let E be a countably generated Hilbert A-module in $M(E)$. The canonical bi-dual frame of a standard frame of multipliers $\{h_n\}_n$ in E is the frame itself.*

Proof. Let $\xi \in E$. If θ' is the frame transform of the dual frame of multipliers $\{(\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_n\}_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta'(\xi) &= \left(\left\langle (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_n, \xi \right\rangle\right)_n = \left(\left\langle h_n, (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1}(\xi) \right\rangle\right)_n \\ &= \theta\left((\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1}(\xi)\right) = \left(\theta \circ (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1}\right)(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\theta' = \theta \circ (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1}$. A simple calculus show that

$$\left((\theta')^* \circ \theta'\right)^{-1} = \theta^* \circ \theta$$

and then

$$\left((\theta')^* \circ \theta'\right)^{-1} \circ (\theta^* \circ \theta)^{-1} \circ h_n = h_n$$

for all positive integer n. Moreover,

$$(\theta')^* \circ \theta = \mathrm{id}_E.$$

From these facts and Proposition 4.6, we conclude that the canonical bi-dual frame of multipliers of the standard frame of multipliers $\{h_n\}_n$ in E is the frame itself. □

References

[1] M. Fragoulopoulou, *Topological algebras with involution,* North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 200. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2005.

[2] M. Frank, D. R. Larson, *A module frame concept for Hilbert C^*-modules*, in Functional and Harmonic Analysis of Wavelets (San Antonio, TX, Jan. 1999), A.M.S., Providence, R.I., Contemp. Math. 247 (2000), 207-233.

[3] M. Frank and D. R. Larson, *Frames in Hilbert C^*-modules and C^*-algebras.* J. Operator Theory **48** (2002), no. 2, 273–314.

[4] A. Inoue, *Locally C^*-algebras,* Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A, **25**(1971), 197-235.

[5] M. Joiţa, *Projections on Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras,* Math. Reports, **4(54)**(2002), pp.373-378 (2003).

[6] M. Joiţa, *On Hilbert-modules over locally C^*-algebras. II.* Period. Math. Hungar. **51** (2005), no. 1, 27–36.

[7] M. Joiţa, *Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras*, University of Bucharest Press, (2006), 150 pg. ISBN 973737128-3.

[8] M. Joita, *On multiplier modules of Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras* (submitted), arXiv:0707.1139v1.

[9] A. Khosravi and M. S. Asgari, *Frames and bases in Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras.* Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **14** (2004), no. 2, 171–190.

[10] G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^*-modules: Theorem of Stinespring and Voiculescu,* J. Operator Theory **4**(1980), 133-150.

[11] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^*-modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists,* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995.

[12] N. C. Phillips, *Inverse limits of C^*-algebras,* J. Operator Theory, **19** (1988), 159-195.

[13] I. Raeburn and S. J. Thompson, *Countably generated Hilbert modules, the Kasparov stabilisation theorem, and frames with Hilbert modules,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 5, 1557–1564

Deparment of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Bd. Regina Elisabeta nr. 4-12, Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: `mjoita@fmi.unibuc.ro`

URL: `http://www.geocities.com/m_joita`